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As one part of ongoing program assessment at Eastern Washington University, each department is asked to report on assessment results for each program for at least one Student Learning Outcome this year. Use this electronic file to report on your program assessment for AY 2009-10, and please submit it to both your Dean and to Academic Affairs (SHW 220) by Nov. 1, 2010. The following definitions explain the assessment information you’ll enter in the table below:

1. **Student Learning Outcome**: The student performance or learning objective as published either in the catalog, the AIEA assessment data portal, or elsewhere in your department literature.

2. **Strategy or method of measurement**: Mode and process through which student performance data was gathered. Examples: embedded test questions in a course or courses, portfolios, in-class activities, standardized test scores, case studies, analysis of written projects, etc. Additional detailed description could describe the use of rubrics, etc. as part of the assessment process.

3. **Observations gathered from data**: The findings and analysis of those findings from the above strategies.

4. **Actions recommended based on observations**: Course (activities or content) or program changes recommended.

5. **Plan and timeline for taking action**: How the recommended actions will be implemented, and in what timeframe.

6. **Overall evaluation of progress on objective**: The extent to which the student learning outcome is still valid and the assessment of it is producing important and meaningful data.

Please fill out a separate assessment table for each program of study (e.g., one table for BA-Art, another for BAE-Visual Arts, etc.) As needed, add additional rows to the table for each student learning outcome for which you gathered assessment results during 2009-10.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. <strong>Student Learning Outcome</strong></th>
<th>2. <strong>Strategy or method of measurement</strong></th>
<th>3. <strong>Observations gathered from data</strong></th>
<th>4. <strong>Actions recommended based on observations</strong></th>
<th>5. <strong>Plan and timetable for taking action</strong></th>
<th>6. <strong>Overall evaluation of progress on objective</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Understand the importance of planning developmentally appropriate instructional units to foster the development of a healthy/physically educated person</td>
<td>Developed units in several program courses and during student teaching</td>
<td>Units were gathered in PHED 336 &amp; 337 and evaluated by the assigned instructor. During student teaching, units were evaluated by university supervisors assigned to each student teacher. Findings indicate that the majority of students successfully demonstrated the appropriate steps necessary to develop a unit</td>
<td>Continue to evaluate the content taught in each program methods course to assist pre-serve teachers in acquiring the necessary skills and knowledge they need to write effective units</td>
<td>Review what is taught in PHED 367 &amp; PHED 368</td>
<td>This SLO is still central to the program mission</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>